# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0114** (RTC-54083) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM372963, IM441694, IM430666, IM425399, IM423745, IM425585, IM456710, IM486925, IM489749, IM490689, IM491973, IM492168, IM492211 and IM493893 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-AT, NA-BE, NA-DE, ITSM3 TES, TAXUD/B3 |
| **CI** | NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 Main Document & Appendix N) |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Correcting various typos & obvious minor defects** |
| Several corrections are needed in the DDNTA Main Document (& Appendix N) to eliminate **typos** or minor errors equivalent to typos. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The following issues have been identified as obvious corrections of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document):   1. The header of **Table 28: State changes of an MRN at the Office of Departure for Core Business – Specific Scenarios for Export Followed by Transit related to ‘New processes for a “To Be” country’** under section **IV.III.2.2.2.1 Office of Departure STD** is a wrong copy/paste from Table 31. 2. The **Steps 17 & 19** of the **T-TRA-TRT-A-009-*Diversion at Customs Office of Exit for Transit – Movement stopped at the border of Office of Exit for Transit*** incorrectly mention Office of *Departure*, but the IE006 is sent by the Office of *Destination*. 3. In scenario **T-ENR-ENQ-A-010-*Enquiry in the case of suspected fraud*** the stopping of the timer **T\_Wait\_Enquiry\_Response** is missing from the steps (before Step 23). Additionally, the Time Sequence Diagram (i.e. Figure 110) needs to be updated as well. 4. The **bullets 5 and 6** of the scenario **T-ENR-ENQ-A-011-Cancellation of Enquiry request** refer to the same thing (i.e. manual closure at Office of Departure due to alternative proof). Consequently, the two (2) paragraphs mentioned by these bullets should be consolidated into one (1) paragraph. 5. The message IE181 needs to be mentioned in section **​III.V.7.2 Invalidation at Office of Transit, at Office of Exit for Transit and Office of Destination** (below Figure 135) to make it explicit that the IE010 message needs to be rejected when the IE181 message has been previously received. 6. The following is mentioned in the last paragraph of **page 317** under section **III.V.1.1 Office of Departure (until movement release)**:   *If the IE002/IE027/IE114/IE164 is received for an unknown MRN (e.g. MRN not yet generated or movement archived) or is received out-of-sequence for a known MRN (e.g. Movement arrived at Office of Destination), then a negative IE003/IE115/IE165 response will be sent (using the codes of CL221/CL184/CL225/CL224 respectively)* ***and not an IE906****.*  where the message IE038 is missing from the list of messages.   1. The **DDNTA Appendix N** also needs to be corrected, (line 78 in sheet **TRA-OoDep**), the messages IE114 and IE115 under columns ‘**Receive**’ and ‘**Send**’ should be replaced with IE164 and IE165 respectively, since the Office of Exit for Transit is concerned. 2. The **DDNTA Appendix N** also needs to be corrected, (line 41 in sheet **TRA-OoDep**), since the ‘**Declaration under Amendment’** status is incorrect and instead the status shall be corrected to **‘Under amendment request due to EFBT’**. 3. The **DDNTA scenario “III.II.2.10.1 T-TRA-DEP-A-016-Invalidation request by the Holder of the Transit Procedure before release for transit”** shall be complemented to start the timer **“T\_Guarantee\_Awaiting\_Amendment”** after the reception of the negative IE205 (i.e. after Step 5). 4. **The DDNTA scenario “III.II.2.10.4 T-TRA-DEP-A-019-Invalidation of a transit declaration after release for transit”** shall be complemented to mention that the transit movement cannot be invalidated when an incident has been previously registered and thus the Office of Departure has received the IE180 message. 5. **The DDNTA scenario “III.II.2.1.2 T-TRA-DEP-A-002-Correction of the pre-lodged declaration prior to presentation of goods”** shall be updated to explain that the timer “T\_Await\_Presentation\_Notification” stops only when a valid IE170 message is received from the Holder of the Transit Procedure (otherwise it continues running). 6. The IE040 message needs to be added to several state transitions to the state “Not released for transit” in the STD of the Office of Departure (until release of movement) and to the state “Invalidated” in the STD of the Invalidation at Office of Departure**.** 7. The text of the footnote 15 that exists under the scenario **“III.II.3.2.4.2 T-TRA-TRT-A-009-Diversion at Customs Office of Exit for Transit – Movement stopped at the border of Office of Exit for Transit”** needs to be corrected. Based on the binding itinerary of the movement, the IE164 is to be sent by NTA.HR and not NTA.HU (as mentioned in the last sentence of the footnote 15). Additionally, in DDNTA v5.15.0-v1.00, the existing footnote 15 will be renumbered to number 18 (due to other footnotes added above as per RFC\_NCTS\_0116 - RTC-56497). 8. During the pre-lodged transit declaration the Holder of the Transit Procedure may receive the IE060 message from the Office of Departure with the Notification type = ‘2-Intention to Control’. However, the DDNTA Main Document shall clarify that this control notification shall not be provided to AEO, where it may jeopardize the controls to be carried out or the results thereof. 9. When functional errors (rules/conditions violated) are found in the invalidation request (IE014) from the Holder of the Transit Procedure, the Office of Departure shall reply with an IE056 instead of a IE009 message. This change is also described in the DDNTA Main Document. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The following corrections will be performed into the **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document)** (changes are depicted in red colour):   1. **The Table 28: State changes of an MRN at the Office of Departure for Core Business – Specific Scenarios for Export Followed by Transit related to ‘New processes for a “To Be” country’ under section IV.III.2.2.2.1 Office of Departure STD needs to be updated as follows:**  | **NCTS-P5 Office of Departure STD for NCTS-P5 (To Be) - III.V.5** | | | | **Changes for** ~~Core Business – Specific Scenarios for Incidents “En Route” (IV.III.2.3)~~Core Business – Specific Scenarios for Export Followed by Transit (IV.III.2.2) | | | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Name** | **Status** | **Final** | **Reported to Requesting NCTS-P5 Office** | **Change Type** | **Changes to State Transitions To other states** | **Reported to Requesting “Legacy” Office** | | None | SR | No | *None (unknown MRN response)* | New State | State Transitions **from this state to other states** as per STD (III.V.1) | *None (unknown MRN response)* | | Submitted | SR | No | *None (unknown MRN response)* | New State | State Transitions **from this state to other states** as per STD (III.V.1) | *None (unknown MRN response)* | | Pending response from AES | SR | No | *None (unknown MRN response)* | New State | State Transitions **from this state to other states** as per STD (III.V.1) | *None (unknown MRN response)* | | Under amendment request due to EFBT | SR | No | *None (unknown MRN response)* | New State | State Transitions **from this state to other states** as per STD (III.V.1) | *None (unknown MRN response)* | | Rejected | SR | Yes | *None (unknown MRN response)* | New State |  | *None (unknown MRN response)* | | Accepted | R | No | Accepted | New State Transition | New transition to *Pending response from AES* state with ^IE190 [allocation] / ^IE190 [amendment] | Accepted |   **Table 28: State changes of an MRN at the Office of Departure for Core Business – Specific Scenarios for Export Followed by Transit related to ‘New processes for a “To Be” country’**   1. **Steps 17 & 19 of the T-TRA-TRT-A-009-*Diversion at Customs Office of Exit for Transit – Movement stopped at the border of Office of Exit for Transit* need to be updated as follows:**   **[Step 17]** Provided that the message is valid, the ~~Office of Departure~~ Office of Destination checks whether the arrival notification has been submitted under normal or simplified procedure. Subsequently, the ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006) message is sent to the Office of Departure. The state of the movement at the Office of Destination is set to [Arrival accepted](#_Office_of_Destination).  **[Step 18]** In case Guarantee types ‘0’ or ‘1’ have been used for this transit movement, the Office of Departure sends the ‘Credit Reference Amount’ C\_GUA\_CRE (IE209) message to the Guarantee Management System(s).  **[Step 19]** Upon reception of the ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006) from the ~~Office of Departure~~ Office of Destination, the timer [T\_Await\_Arrival\_Advice](#T_Await_Arrival_Advice) stops. The state of the movement at the Office of Departure is set to [Arrived](#_Office_of_Departure_1).   1. **The scenario T-ENR-ENQ-A-010-*Enquiry in the case of suspected fraud* will be updated as follows:**   **[Step 19]** The timer [T\_Wait\_Enquiry\_Response](#T_Wait_Enquiry_Response) starts (i.e. the Officer at the Competent Authority of Enquiry at Departure manually sets its duration to be 28 days). The state is set to [Under enquiry procedure](#_Office_of_Departure_1).  The same Competent Authority of Enquiry at Destination, that has received the ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message, locates the movement and, therefore, the normal arrival process is resumed by **[Step 20]** sending the ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006). Therefore, **[Step 21] t**he timer [T\_Wait\_Enquiry\_Response](#T_Wait_Enquiry_Response) stops. The state of the transit movement at the Office of Departure is set to Arrived.  Since no ‘Notification Leaving Security Area’ C\_LSA\_NOT (IE168) and no ‘Notification Crossing Frontier’ C\_NCF\_NOT (IE118) messages have been received from the Office of Exit for Transit and Office of Transit respectively, the ‘Forwarded Arrival Advice’ C\_FWD\_ARR (IE024) is sent to:   * **[Step ~~21~~22]** To the Office of Exit for Transit, whose state is set to [Arrived](#_Office_of_Exit); * **[Step ~~22~~23]** To the Office of Transit, whose state is set to [Arrived](#_Office_of_Transit).   **[Step ~~23~~24]** Additionally, the ‘Destination Control Results’ C\_DES\_CON (IE018) message is received by the Office of Departure, which contains as per scenario’s assumption a satisfactory control result code (i.e. ‘A1’ or ‘A2’ or ‘A5’).  **[Step ~~24~~25]** The timer[T\_Recovery\_Recommended](#T_Recovery_Recommended) also stops.   1. **The *scenario T-ENR-ENQ-A-011-Cancellation of Enquiry* *request* (section III.IV.2.1.11) will be updated as follows:** 2. In case the movement is written-off manually as described in scenario [T-TRA-DES-A-011-Manual closure at Departure based on alternative proof](#_T-TRA-DES-A-011-Manual_closure_at) (i.e. the Holder of the Transit Procedure provides alternative proof to the Office of Departure regarding the release of goods at destination), the ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message has to be cancelled by the Competent Authority of Enquiry at Departure by sending the ‘Cancel Enquiry Notification’ C\_CAN\_ENQ (IE059) to the Competent Authority of Enquiry that has previously received the ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message but has not responded with the ‘Enquiry Response’ C\_ENQ\_NEG (IE143) message yet. 3. ~~In case the Holder of the Transit Procedure provides alternative proof to the Office of Departure regarding the release of goods at destination, and upon acceptance from the Office of Departure, the ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message has to be cancelled by the Competent Authority of Enquiry at Departure by sending the ‘Cancel Enquiry Notification’ C\_CAN\_ENQ (IE059) to the Competent Authority of Enquiry that has previously received the ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message but has not responded yet.~~ 4. **The section ​III.V.7.2 *Invalidation at Office of Transit, at Office of Exit for Transit and Office of Destination*  (i.e. the paragraph below Figure 135 that remains unchanged) will be updated as follow:**   This is best summarised as follows:    Figure 135: Invalidation at Office of Transit, at Office of Exit for Transit and Office of Destination  No invalidation of a transit declaration is possible when the Office of Departure has received the ‘Notification Crossing Frontier’ C\_NCF\_NOT (IE118) or the ‘Notification Leaving Security Area’ C\_LSA\_NOT (IE168) or the ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006) or the ‘Incident Notification’ C\_INC\_NOT (IE180) message(s).   1. **The last paragraph of section III.V.1.1 *Office of Departure (until movement release)* will be updated as follows:**   If the IE002/IE027/IE114/IE164 is received for an unknown MRN (e.g. MRN not yet generated or movement archived) or is received out-of-sequence for a known MRN (e.g. Movement arrived at Office of Destination), then a negative IE003/IE038/IE115/IE165 response will be sent (using the codes of CL221/CL184/CL225/CL224 respectively) **and not an IE906**.   1. **In DDNTA Appendix N (line 78 of sheet TRA-OoDep), the messages under columns ‘Receive’ and ‘Send’ will be replaced with IE164 and IE165 respectively, as illustrated below:**      1. **In DDNTA Appendix N (line 41 of sheet TRA-OoDep), the status** ‘**Declaration under Amendment’ shall be corrected to** **‘Under amendment request due to EFBT’, as illustrated below:**      1. **The *scenario T-TRA-DEP-A-016-Invalidation request by the Holder of the Transit Procedure before release for transit* (section III.II.2.10.1) will be updated as follows:**   This is the simplest case of invalidation. The scenario starts after [Step 3] of the T-TRA-CFL-M-001-Standard Transit Procedure (overview).  **[Step 4]** The ‘Guarantee Use’ C\_GUA\_USE (IE203) message is sent to the Guarantee Management System(s) to register the guarantee(s) managed by the Office of Guarantee (i.e. ‘0’, ‘1’, ‘2’, ‘4’ and ‘9’).  **[Step 5]** The ‘Guarantee Use Result’ C\_GUA\_USR (IE205) message received from Guarantee Management System contains an Invalid Guarantee Reason, the state of the transit declaration at the Office of Departure is set to [Guarantee under](#_Office_of_Departure) amendment.  **[Step 6]** The timer at the Office of Departure [T\_Guarantee\_Awaiting\_Amendment](#T_Guarantee_Awaiting_Amendment) starts.  **[Step ~~6~~7]** The Holder of the Transit Procedure is notified with the ‘Guarantee Not Valid’ E\_GUA\_INV (IE055) message that the declared guarantee is not valid.  **Additionally, Figure 28 (T-TRA-DEP-A-016-Invalidation request by the Holder of the Transit Procedure before release for transit – Accepted) will be updated to start the timer “T\_Guarantee\_Awaiting\_Amendment” after the Office of Departure receives the IE205.**   1. **The *scenario T-TRA-DEP-A-019-Invalidation of a transit declaration after release for transit*  (section III.II.2.10.4) will be updated as follows:**   The precondition is that a transit declaration can be invalidated after release for transit only when none of the following ‘Notification Leaving Security Area’ C\_LSA\_NOT (IE168) and the ‘Notification Crossing Frontier’ C\_NCF\_NOT (IE118) and ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006) and the ‘Incident Notification’ C\_INC\_NOT (IE180) messages have been received yet by the Office of Departure from the Office(s) of Exit for Transit, Office(s) of Transit, ~~and~~ Office of Destination and Office of Incident Registration respectively.   1. **The scenario T-TRA-DEP-A-002-Correction of the pre-lodged declaration prior to presentation of goods (section III.II.2.1.2) will be updated as follows:**   **[Step 8]** While the timer [T\_Await\_Presentation\_Notification](#T_Await_Presentation_Notification) is still running, the goods of the consignment are presented, and the Holder of the Transit Procedure notifies the Office of Departure of this fact by submitting the ‘Presentation Notification for the Pre-Lodged Declaration’ E\_PRE\_NOT (IE170) message to the Office of Departure.  **~~[Step 9]~~** ~~The timer~~ [~~T\_Await\_Presentation\_Notification~~](#T_Await_Presentation_Notification) ~~stops.~~  **~~[Step 10]~~**The ‘Presentation Notification for the Pre-Lodged Declaration’ E\_PRE\_NOT (IE170) message is valid (i.e. in terms of message structure and R/Cs). In addition, based on the information contained in the ‘Presentation Notification for the Pre-Lodged Declaration’ E\_PRE\_NOT (IE170) message, the Office of Departure re-validates the Transit Declaration information (considering the ‘Declaration Data’ E\_DEC\_DAT (IE015) and any latest ‘Declaration Amendment’ E\_DEC\_AMD (IE013)) and ensures the validity of the reference data.  **[Step 9~~10~~]** The timer [T\_Await\_Presentation\_Notification](#T_Await_Presentation_Notification) stops N.  ~~In case~~**[Step 10]** Since the additional checks are successful, the transit declaration is accepted. As a result, the MRN is communicated to the Holder of the Transit Procedure with the ‘MRN Allocated’ E\_MRN\_ALL (IE028) message.  **N: The following footnote will be inserted at the end of Step 9:**  In case the ‘Presentation Notification for the Pre-Lodged Declaration’ E\_PRE\_NOT (IE170) message is found invalid, this timer continues running.   1. **In “Figure 124 - State Transition Diagram for Office of Departure (until release of movement)”, the IE040 message will be added to the existing State Transition that end to the state “Not released for transit”. Additionally, in “Figure 136 - Invalidation at Office of Departure”, the state transition from states: “Accepted, Guarantee under registration, Guarantee under amendment, Guarantee registered, Idle, Under release request” to the state “Invalidated” will be updated to add the IE040 message.**   **The updated state transitions with the message IE040 as mentioned in the previous paragraph will be also depicted in the DDNTA Appendix N.**  **Moreover, the text of the section “III.V.1.1 Office of Departure (until movement release)” in the DDNTA Main Document v5.14.1-v1.00 will be updated as follows:**  While the movement is “Under control”, control has been performed and finished. The registration of control results may take place with the ‘Departure Control Results’ N\_DEP\_CON (IE017) message. Different cases apply next:   * In case no discrepancies are found during control, the movement is ready for guarantee registration by sending the ‘Guarantee Use’ C\_GUA\_USE (IE203) message to the responsible Office(s) of Guarantee. The status becomes “Guarantee under registration”; * In case major discrepancies are found during control, a ‘No Release for Transit’ E\_REL\_NOT (IE051) message is sent to the Holder of the Transit Procedure and the status becomes “Not released for Transit”. In case of Export followed by Transit, the Office of Departure sends the ‘Transit Invalidation Notification to AES’ N\_INV\_TRA (IE040) message to the Office of Exit. * In case minor discrepancies are found during control, and the Holder of the Transit Procedure has not yet sent their advice on minor revisions. The status will be set to “Under release request”. * In case minor discrepancies are found during control, and the Holder of the Transit Procedure has communicated advice on minor revisions by making no opposition (accepted the revisions), the status becomes “Guarantee under registration”. * In case minor discrepancies are found during control, and the Holder of the Transit Procedure made opposition (did not accept the revisions), the status becomes “Idle”.   While the status of the movement is 'Idle', the Office of Departure needs to decide whether to release or not to release the movement. Upon positive release decision, the movement status becomes “Guarantee under registration”, otherwise upon negative release decision the movement status becomes “Not released for Transit” and in case of Export followed by Transit, the Office of Departure sends the ‘Transit Invalidation Notification to AES’ N\_INV\_TRA (IE040) message to the Office of Exit.  **Lastly, the text of the section “III.V.7 Invalidation STD” in the DDNTA Main Document v5.14.1-v1.00 will be updated as follows:**  Please note that only the Holder of the Transit Procedure may initiate invalidation of a transit declaration. A valid ‘Declaration Invalidation Request’ E\_DEC\_INV (IE014) will be replied with a ‘Invalidation Decision’ E\_INV\_DEC (IE009) message that contains a negative invalidation decision, once the movement has been released for transit. In case of Export followed by Transit, the Office of Departure sends the ‘Transit Invalidation Notification to AES’ N\_INV\_TRA (IE040) message to the Office of Exit.   1. **The existing footnote 15 that exists in DDNTA v5.14.0-v1.00 under the scenario “III.II.3.2.4.2 T-TRA-TRT-A-009-Diversion at Customs Office of Exit for Transit – Movement stopped at the border of Office of Exit for Transit” will be updated as follows:**   ~~15~~18 The flag ‘Binding Itinerary’ means that the goods shall be moved from the Customs Office of Departure to the Customs Office of Destination along an economically justified itinerary (via the countries listed in the CC015C or CD001C) (Article 298(2) IA). For example, if the declaration is flagged with ‘Binding Itinerary’ and the Data Group <COUNTRIES OF ROUTING OF CONSIGNMENT> includes the codes CZ-SK-HU-RO-BG-TR, the NCTS movement is not supposed to leave the EU in HR to enter RS. In that case, the IE164 sent by NTA.~~HU~~HR to NTA.CZ will be responded with a negative IE165.   1. **The section “III.II.2.1 Declaration Lodged Prior to Presentation of Goods” will be updated as follows:**   After the risk analysis, the Office of Departure may control the movement and for this reason, the Holder of the Transit Procedure (provided that they are an AEO) is notified about the intention of the Customs Officer to potentially control the goods with the ‘Control Decision Notification’ E\_CTR\_DEC (IE060) message (having the data element TRANSIT OPERATION-Notification type = ‘2-Intention to Control’). The notification via the message ‘Control Decision Notification’ E\_CTR\_DEC (IE060) shall not be provided to AEO, where it may jeopardize the controls to be carried out or the results thereof.   1. **The section “III.V.7.1 Invalidation at Office of Departure” will be updated as follows:**   Before release for transit, the Holder of the Transit Procedure sends a ‘Declaration Invalidation Request’ E\_DEC\_INV (IE014), and the Office of Departure responds with an ‘Invalidation Decision’ E\_INV\_DEC (IE009), if the ‘Declaration Invalidation Request’ E\_DEC\_INV (IE014) is valid (in terms of syntax and R/Cs), and when the following condition is satisfied:  The state of the movement is any of the following:  Accepted, or  Under release request, or  Idle, or  Guarantee under registration, or  Guarantee under amendment, or  Guarantee registered.  In case the ‘Declaration Invalidation Request’ E\_DEC\_INV (IE014) message, that is received from the Holder of the Transit Procedure, is invalid (e.g. in terms of R/Cs), the Office of Departure sends to the Holder of the Transit Procedure the ‘Rejection from Office of Departure’ E\_DEP\_REJ (IE056).  But, in the specific case that the ‘Declaration Invalidation Request’ E\_DEC\_INV (IE014) message, sent by the Holder of the Transit Procedure, is received in an inappropriate state (e.g. after release for transit), then the Office of Departure sends to the Holder of the Transit Procedure a ‘Invalidation Decision’ E\_INV\_DEC (IE009) message with a negative invalidation decision.  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**  **No impact on External Domain.**  This RFC-Proposal is considered as a ***purely* documentary improvement** of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document and Appendix N) and assumed to have no implementation impact for the NAs. The Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30 are already aligned to this text.  If this RFC-Proposal requires a correction of a NTA(NCTS-P5), it is considered that the change proposed has no impact on business continuity and can therefore be deployed in a ***flexible* way**.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops): As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023 (***flexible***)  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT): N/A  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB): January 2022  **Impact on transition P4-P5**: None  **Consequence of not approving the RFC-Proposal**: Possible confusion for Business Analysts.  **Risk of not implementing the change**: Very low.  Impacted IEs:   * None   Impacted CI Artefacts:   * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes;** * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix N): Yes;** * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30: No; * DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No; * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, PDFs): No; * CSE-v51.6.0: No; * DMP Package-5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CRP-5.5.0-v1.00: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Main Document & Appendix N: As described in Section 3 - Proposed Solution** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Likely no impact** (maybe an update of the translated DDNTA Main Document or a minor impact on the Timer management)**.** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
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